

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/782,237	02/19/2004	Patrick Rooney Conarro	CID-0401	5192	
25007	7590 02/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAW OFF	ICE OF DALE B. HA	LLING, LLC		<u></u>	
655 SOUTH	IPOINTE COURT, SUIT	TE 100			
	O SPRINGS, CO 8090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	P
0	0

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/782,237	CONARRO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J. Castellano	3727	

(37 CFR 41.37)		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on 10 January 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.🖾	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):					
	Items 5 and 6: Appellant fails to provide a ground of 4 of the final Office action mailed September 9, 200-being unpatentable over Pace, Young or Panta Chica addressed in the arguments section, either. Appellative rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace Cinque] in view of Chantaca, Wright and Howell; Clayour Pace, Panta Chica or [Pace or Panta Chica in 20 is rejected under 103 as being unpatentable over Pace of Chica in the Chica in	4 wherein Claims 1-6, 10, 13 and 15 ca in view of Cinque. This ground of the nt has misstated all of the other 103 e, Young, Panta Chica or [Pace, Youaims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected underview of Cinque] in view of Chantaca, r Pace, Young, Panta Chica or [Pace	-19 are rejected un rejection hasn't be rejections: Claims ung or Panta Chica er 103 as being un Wright and Howe	nder 103 as een 7-9 are a in view of patentable II; and Claims			
of Cinque] in view of de Chollet, Williamson and MacGregor, Jr							

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3727